

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,247	10/713,247 11/13/2003		Charles E. Boyer	VER-01	1172
33072	7590	03/11/2005		EXAMINER	
KAGAN I	•		CAPUTO, LISA M		
SUITE 200, MAPLE ISLAND BUILDING 221 MAIN STREET NORTH				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
STILLWA	TER, MN	55082		2876	
				DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/713,247	BOYER, CHARLES E.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lisa M. Caputo	2876				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the cover	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 A	<u>ugust 2004</u> .					
<u> </u>						
,						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11, and 13-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 12 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/28/05</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/713,247 Page 2

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Preliminary Amendment

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the preliminary amendment filed 30 August 2004.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 12 is an exact duplicate of claim 11 and is hence objected to. Examiner requests clarification of this matter.

Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

- 3. Figures 1a and 1b should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art--because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 4. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because the Figures are informal, with hand-drawn objects and reference numbers. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office

Art Unit: 2876

action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding page 10, lines 6 and 9: The reference numbers "280a" should be – 280b-- so as to be consistent with Figure 2b.

Regarding page 13, line 22: The reference to "card holder B" should be – cardholder H-- in order to be consistent with Figure 4.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1, 3-4, 8, and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Brewington (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0117627).

Regarding claims 1, 3-4, 8, and 10-11, Brewington teaches a high-security card (identification card 41) comprising a card body having a perimeter (see Figure 4, which shows a card body and that the card has a perimeter) and at least one face (front and rear surfaces), and at least one two-dimensional binary information symbol (high density

Application/Control Number: 10/713,247 Page 4

Art Unit: 2876

two-dimensional barcode 51) which is located within the perimeter of the card body on the at least one face (see Figure 4, paragraph 0053). A two-dimensional barcode is a two-dimensional binary information symbol. Further, regarding claim 8, Brewington teaches a high-security card system that comprises the high-security card as discussed above, and in addition discloses at least one card reader (document input device 120 includes an image capture device, for example, a card scanner and detector 232) that is responsive in use to the symbol of the high-security card and generating a signal indicative of the symbol (the detector 232 analyzes the document images and sends the encoded image signature to a decoder 234), and at least one decoder (decoder 234) being capable of receiving the signal from the card reader and converting the signal into a human-readable authentication display (the information is then passed to a control system 236 which includes a microprocessor 238 and which analyzes the information and can indicate in human readable form for display upon conventional display means (not shown), information from the document) (see Figure 7, paragraph 0060 to paragraph 0063).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,247 Page 5

Art Unit: 2876

7. Claims 2, 5-7, 9, and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brewington. The teachings of Brewington have been discussed above.

Regarding claims 2, 5-7, 9, and 13-15, although Brewington fails to specifically teach the high-security card in the embodiments of a high-security transaction card, a library patron identification card, a building access card, or a medical information card, Brewington, as discussed above, does indeed teach the high-security card in the embodiment of a high-security transaction card, and further, that the card/document can be implemented as a bank check (see Figures 4-5, paragraphs 0053-0054). In addition, FIGS. 4 and 5 illustrated but two examples of a hardcopy secure document constructed in according to respective embodiments of the present invention. As will be appreciated by those skilled in the art, the identification card 41 or the bank check 50 can incorporate more or less than the illustrated combination of features, and such features may be provided at differing locations on the front and rear surfaces of their substrates, as needed. It is noted that in FIGS. 4 and 5, the encoded image signatures are located in predetermined areas of the hardcopy secure document (see paragraph 0055). Further, other examples of documents for which resistance to forgery, copying, or other tampering is desired include: stock or bond certificates, driver's licenses, identification cards or papers, passports, betting slips, prize or game awards, tickets, or documents that simply require validating signatures to be affixed thereto, such as electronically negotiated and/or transmitted contracts, etc. (see paragraph 0007). Hence, Brewington

Art Unit: 2876

does indeed teach that it is well known in the art to have different embodiments for the high-security card, such as a transaction card or medical information card.

In view of the teaching of Brewington, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the high-security card in different embodiments because it is favorable to be able to add additional security to many different objects in order to retain customer information safely. It is favorable to maintain customer information safety so that the overall system can run efficiently (i.e. the customer's assets are protected, as well as the business' assets).

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: U.S. Patent No. 6,354,494 to Marcus which teaches a secure identification card and method and apparatus for producing and authenticating same and U.S. Patent No. 5,913,542 to Belucci et al. which teaches a system for producing a personal ID card.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Lisa M. Caputo* whose telephone number is (571) 272-2388. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 8:30AM to 5:00PM Monday through Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached at (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [lisa.caputo@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,247

Art Unit: 2876

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LMC

March 2, 2005

DIANE I. LEE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7